Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/528,258	PIIKIVI, LAURI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven S. Paik	2876
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Steven S. Paik.	(3)	•
(2) James A. Retter (Reg. No. 41,266).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 September 2007	Time: <u>9:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 2 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The applicant agreed to amend claims 2 and 9 to correct informalities and insert continuing data of the application.		
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•	•	
AMD		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		